# **Opioid-Free Recovery after Inguinal Hernia Repair with Bupivacaine and Meloxicam Extended-Release** Solution (ERBMS) as the Foundation of a Multimodal Analgesia Regimen in a Real-World Setting

### **INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES**

- Despite use of multimodal analgesia and opioid-sparing protocols, opioids are still over-prescri due to insufficient pain control and the inability to predict which patients will need opioid-leve opioid solutions and prescribing algorithms are warranted.
- ERBMS, or extended-release bupivacaine and meloxicam solution (ZYNRELEF®) is a dual-acting local anesthetic (DALA) formulation made up of an extended-release polymer that controls the release of active ingredients over 72 hours. The prolonged release of bupivacaine and meloxicam results in sustained analgesia, leading to a reduction in opioid use<sup>2,3</sup>.
- Meloxicam reduces surgery-related inflammation, thereby normalizing the local pH which results in enhanced penetration of bupivacaine into the nerves $^{3}$
- ERBMS is administered via a needle-free Luer-lock applicator into the surgical site prior to closure.
- The Helping Opioid Prescription Elimination (HOPE) project included HOPE Hernia (HH)-1 and HH-2, both designed to evaluate ERBMS as the foundation of non-opioid MMA in open inguinal herniorrhaphy in a real-world setting<sup>4</sup>
  - HOPE Hernia-I (HH-I) was conducted in 7 research centers, where patients received ERBMS and were randomized to one of two postoperative, over-the-counter, non-opioid MMA schedules. 90% of patients were discharged without an opioid prescription and 95% of patients reported an opioid-free recovery. Results were similar between MMA schedules<sup>5</sup>.
  - The objective of HOPE Hernia-2 (HH-2), was to confirm the results of HH-1 in 17 new centers, with non-opioid MMA schedules assigned based on investigator/patient preference.

### METHODS

- This is the final analysis of HH-2.
- Key Inclusion Criteria: Adult patients undergoing unilateral open inguinal herniorrhaphy with mesh under deep sedation or general anesthesia with ASA I, II, III
- Key Exclusion Criteria: Planned concurrent surgical procedure, pre-existing painful condition expected to require analgesic treatment, opioid use for  $\geq$  7 days within 6 months, opioid use prior 24 hours
- Study Endpoints: Primary endpoint was proportion of patients who required no opioid prescription through Day 15. Secondary Endpoints included proportion of patients who received an opioid prescription at discharge or postdischarge through Day 15, number of oxycodone tablets taken between discharge and Day 15, mean numeric rating scale (NRS) pain score at discharge, patient-initiated callbacks for pain management, mean Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication (TSQM-9) scores (patient satisfaction), and safety. In addition, a pain phenotyping questionnaire was conducted for analysis of baseline factors potentially predictive of opioid use.
- Treatment Assignments:
- All patients received preoperative oral ibuprofen 400 mg and oral acetaminophen I g, and a single intraoperative dose of ERBMS 300 mg/9 mg (bupivacaine/meloxicam) was administered via needle-free application to the surgical site prior to closure at the end of surgery
- Post-surgery, all patients were to receive scheduled oral non-opioid MMA and were assigned into two cohorts of different MMA schedules based on investigator/patient preference (**Figure I**)



APAP, acetaminophen; IBU, ibuprofen; MMA, multimodal analgesia; NRS, numeric rating scale of pain intensity; q6h, every 6 hours; Rx, prescription; TSQM-9, Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication. <sup>a</sup>While patient is awake.

## John Fanikos<sup>1</sup>, Jackie Evans-Shields<sup>2</sup>, Nancy Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Palazzo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA;<sup>2</sup>Heron Therapeutics, Inc., San Diego, CA, <sup>3</sup>Jefferson Methodist Hospital, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA.

### RESULTS

ibed after su	rgery,	possibly
el analgesia <sup>1</sup> .	New	non-

Table I. Patient Demographics					
	ERBMS + Concurrent MMA N=60	ERBMS + Alternating MMA N=56	All Patients N=117		
Age, years, mean (SD)	60.7 (14.6)	59.2 (15.3)	60.0 (14.8)		
Sex, n (%)					
Male	59 (98.3)	54 (96.4)	114 (97.4)		
Female	L (1.7)	2 (3.6)	3 (2.6)		
Ethnicity, n (%)					
Hispanic or Latino	4 (6.7)	18 (32.1)	22 (18.8)		
Not Hispanic or Latino	56 (93.3)	38 (67.9)	95 (81.2)		
Race, n (%)					
Asian	L (1.7)	0	I (0.9)		
Black or African American	6 (10.0)	0	6 (5.1)		
White	52 (86.7)	55 (98.2)	108 (92.3)		
Missing	L (1.7)	I (1.8)	2 (1.7)		
BMI, kg/m², mean (SD)	27.2 (4.5)	26.4 (3.3)	26.8 (4.0)		

MMA, multimodal analgesia; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index

### **Demographics**

• 117 patients were treated with ERBMS and 116 received MMA (concurrent, n=60; alternating, n=56). Two patients were lost to follow-up prior to study completion. Patient demographics were consistent with the surgical population (Table I). Efficacy

- Mean time to discharge was between 2 and 3 hours and mean numeric rating scale (NRS) for pain at discharge was 2.4, in the mild range (NRS <4).
- Overall, 109/117 (93.2%) patients were discharged without an opioid prescription, 54/60 (96.4%) and 54/56 (90%) in the ERBMS + alternating MMA and ERBMS + concurrent MMA arms, respectively (Table 2).
- 8 patients received a discharge opioid prescription in HH-2; algorithm criteria are listed in Table 2. • Of these 8 patients, 4 took an opioid (5, 9, 10, and 22 oxycodone 5-mg tablets through Day 15)
- Of the patients discharged without an opioid prescription, I patient called the site back for pain management and received a prescription for oxycodone 5 mg tablets. This patient stopped taking MMA medications on Day 4 and consumed 20 tablets from discharge to Day 15.
- Across cohorts, 106/117 (90.6%) patients had an opioid-free recovery (Figure 2).
- No relationship was discerned between pain phenotyping factors, postoperative opioid consumption, or discharge opioid prescriptions.
- Patients indicated high satisfaction per TSQM-9, as 110/117 (94%) patients indicated they were either satisfied, very satisfied, or extremely satisfied (8.5%, 28.2%, 57.3%, respectively). Overall TSQM-9 scores were similar between cohorts.

Table 2. Opioid Prescription Algorithm					
	ERBMS + Concurrent MMA N=60	ERBMS + Alternating MMA N=56	All Patients N=117		
Patients who did not receive opioid prescription at discharge, n (%)	54 (90)	54 (96.4)	109 (93.2)		
Initiated contact with the site post- discharge to discuss postoperative pain, n (%)	I (I.7) *b	0	l (0.9) ¤.b		
Patients who received opioid prescription at discharge, n (%)	6 (10)	2 (3.6)	8 (6.8)		
NRS-R ≥6 at discharge	L (1.7)	0	I (0.9)		
Received rescue opioid	I (1.7)	2 (3.6)	3 (2.6)		
Both NRS-R ≥6 and rescue opioid <sup>c</sup>	3 (5)	0	3 (2.6)		
Other₫	I (1.7)	0	I (0.9)		
Patients who took any opioids between discharge and day 15, n (%)	5 (8.3)	0	5 (4.3)		
Mean number of oxycodone 5 mg pills taken (range)	13.2 (5-22)	0	13.2 (5-22)		

<sup>a</sup> I patient who did not receive an opioid discharge Rx and contacted the site on Day 4 to request additional pain medication. The patient received an Rx for ten 5 mg oxycodone tablets, then contacted the site again on Day 7 and received an Rx for twenty 5 mg oxycodone tablets. They consumed 20 tablets total from discharge to Day 15 (had stopped taking MMA medications on Day 4). <sup>b</sup>ladditional patient who did receive an opioid discharge Rx contacted the site on Day 13 to request additional pain medication and received twelve 5 mg tramadol tablets (considered a protocol deviation). <sup>c</sup>I of whom also expressed concern about pain management plan. <sup>d</sup>Patient request due to potential travel.



MMA, multimodal analgesia; Opioid-free post-discharge= patient did not take opioid between discharge and Day 15 (even if received discharge opioid Rx); Complete opioid-free recovery through Day 15= patient did not take opioid in hospital or after discharge (even if received discharge opioid Rx)

### Safety

Table 3. Safety Summary					
	ERBMS + Concurrent MMA N=60	ERBMS + Alternating MMA N=56	All Patients N=117		
Patients with $\geq$   AE, n (%)	12 (20)	12 (21.4)	25 (21.4)		
AE possibly related to study drug	2 (3.3)	0	2 (1.7)		
AE possibly related to MMA regimen	4 (6.7)	I (1.8)	5 (4.3)		
Patients with $\geq$ 1 SAE, n (%)	0	0	0		
ORAE <sup>a</sup>	3 (5)	I (I.8)	5 (4.3)ª		
NSAID-related AEs <sup>b</sup>	L (1.7)	6 (10.7)	7 (6)		
AEs (>2%)					
Constipation	2 (3.3)	I (I.8)	3 (2.6)		
Testicular swelling	l (1.7)	2 (3.6)	3 (2.6)		

AE, adverse event; MMA, multimodal analgesia; SAE, severe adverse event; ORAE, opioid-related adverse event <sup>a</sup>Opioid-related AEs were prespecified as nausea, vomiting, constipation, pruritus, pruritus generalized, somnolence, respiratory depression, and urinary retention. The 5 reported included constipation (3 patients), nausea (1 patient), urinary retention (1 patient). <sup>b</sup>NSAID-related AEs were identified using a customized list of NSAID toxicity-related preferred terms (>1,800) derived from Essex MN, et al.<sup>6</sup> The 7 reported NSAID-related AEs included 1 of each of the following: scrotal swelling, hypotension, angioedema, hepatic cirrhosis, liver injury, palatal edema, peptic ulcer hemorrhage, and swelling.

- patients discharged without an opioid prescription.
- back for pain management.

- Knight AW, et al. Surgery 2019;166(5):752–7.
- ZYNRELEF (bupivacaine and meloxicam) Extended-Release
- Solution [Full Prescribing Information].
- Ottoboni T et al. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 201945:117-123.

Medical writing support was provided by Katie Luepke, PharmD, BCPS of Heron Therapeutics. Funding for this research was provided by Heron Therapeutics, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA).

### RESULTS

• Both MMA regimens comprising ERBMS, PO ibuprofen, and PO acetaminophen were well-tolerated. There was no evidence of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) or NSAID-related toxicity, and there were no clinically meaningful mean changes in vital signs. The most common adverse events (>2%) were testicular swelling and constipation (Table 3).

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

• HH-2 confirmed that ERBMS with a non-opioid MMA regimen plus an opioid prescription algorithm resulted in >90% of

• 91% of patients experienced an opioid-free recovery and only 1 patient discharged without an opioid prescription called

• ERBMS with NSAID-containing MMA was well-tolerated and patients reported high treatment satisfaction. • In a real-world setting of hernia repair, ERBMS as the foundation of a non-opioid MMA regimen effectively manages postoperative pain, minimizes opioid use, and eliminates unnecessary prescribing of opioids.

### REFERENCES

Clinicaltrials.gov, NCT 03907176.

- Minkowitz H et al. Pain Ther. 2021 Jul 27.
- 6. Essex MN et al. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2013;12:465-477.

